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FIG. 1. Hillshaded microtopographic plan of Kaymakçlı, demonstrating the topographic zones of the site.
FIG. 2. View to the east of area 81.551.
FIG. 3. Overhead view of area 95.555 (north up). White squares are 1 m on a side.

FIG. 4. Overhead view of area 97.541 (north up). White squares are 1 m on a side.
fig. 5. View to northeast of “sherd hearths” 99.526.385 and 386.

fig. 6. View to northeast of area 108.922/109.923.
FIG. 7. Quatrefoil jug/jar with built-in sieve in Painted Gold Wash Ware from the LB 2 phase (93.545.118.31) (N. Gail; © Gygaia Projects).

FIG. 8. Animal protome from Gray Ware cup from the LB 1 phase (81.551.40.10) (N. Gail; © Gygaia Projects).

FIG. 9. A typical grooved spiral inside a Red Light Brown Ware cup from the LB 2 phase (99.526.231.11) (N. Gail; © Gygaia Projects).

FIG. 10. Two fragments of Mycenaean Decorated Ware from the LB 2 phase 1: left, 97.541.278.89 (LH IIIA2/B); right, 97.541.278.58 (LH IIIB) (H. Hatay; © Gygaia Projects).
FIG. 11. A selection of rounded sherds from Kaymakçı (N. Gail; © Gygaia Projects).
**fig. 12.** A selection of tools from Kaymakça associated with productive activities: *a*, awls or drills; *b*, punch (108.522.7.9); *c*, dual-ended tool (98.531.23.6); *d*, chisel (99.526.185.1); *e*, bone handle (93.545.118.84); *f*, bone awl (N. Gail; © Gygaia Projects).
FIG. 13. A selection of lead rings from Kaymakçı (N. Gail; © Gygaia Projects).

FIG. 14. A selection of ‘tokens’ from Kaymakçı (N. Gail; © Gygaia Projects).

FIG. 15. Relative proportions of major botanical taxa by weight from each excavation area.
Fig. 16. Relative proportions of major faunal taxa by number of identified specimens from dry-sieved samples of each excavation area.

Fig. 17. Relative proportions of major faunal taxa by number of identified specimens from hand-collected samples of each excavation area.